SCHEDA DI VALUTAZIONE DEI DOTTORANDI

Evaluation Parameter								
Manuscript Quality (MQ):	Excelle	ent (6)	Goo	d (5)	Av	erage (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (2)
Score range: 0-30								
Material organization								
Accuracy								
Language								
Discussion of results ¹								
References								
Brief Account of MQ weaknesses								
_								
Research and Methodology	Excellent (5)		Good (4)		Average (3)		Fair (2)	Poor (1)
Novelty and Data-Supported			1		11.19.(1)			
Conclusions (NC): Score range:								
0-20								
Research methodology								
Novelty								
Statistical analysis ¹								
Data supported conclusions ¹								
Brief Account of NC weaknesses								
Presentation Quality (PQ):	Excelle		nt (5) Good		(4) Average		(3) Fair (2)	Poor (1)
Score range: 0-20		2.100110	(0)	0000	. (-)	11,010,00	(2)	2 002 (2)
Quality of the Presentation								
Clarity								
Mastery of the topic								
	Italian Foreign Language							
Language usage	0							
Brief Account of PQ weaknesses								
Ziioi i iooo wiio oi i Q wouliioosoo								
Accurate Reply to referees'	Excellent (30)		Good (25)		Average (20)		Fair (15)	Poor (10)
questions (R): Score range: 0-30	LACCHEII (30)		G000 (23)		111clage (20)		1 411 (13)	1 001 (10)
Reply								
Brief Account of R weaknesses			I		i			
Ditor recount of it weakingses								
Total: score range 0-100								
Total. Scott Lange U-100								

The overall evaluation of the PhD student is obtained by averaging the scores attributed by any referee and will be expressed as

A (very good) level: average score range: ≥ 86 (2nd and 3rd year), ≥ 73 (1st year)

B (good) level: average score range: 71-85 (2nd and 3rd year), 60-73 (1st year)

C (sufficient) level: average score range: 60-70 (2nd and 3rd year), 51-59 (1st year)

D (insufficient) level: ≤ 59 (2nd and 3rd year), 50 (1st year)

_

¹ not applicable for miniposters